Necessary Conditions of Optimality for Stochastic Switching Systems With Delay

Charkaz Aghayeva

Abstract— This paper provides necessary condition of optimality, in the form of maximum principle for optimal control problems of switching systems with constraints. Dynamics of the processes are defined by the stochastic differential equations with delay in the drift and diffusion coefficients. The restrictions on switches between operating mode are described by collection of functional constraints.

Keywords— Optimal control problem, Stochastic differential equation with delay, Stochastic switching system, Switching law.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE stochastic differential equations with delay find much exhibits in description of the real systems, which in one or another degree are subjected to the influence of the random noises. Systems with stochastic uncertainties have provided a lot of interest for problems of nuclear fission, communication systems, self-oscillating systems and etc., where the influences of random disturbances can not be ignored [1]-[3]. Switching systems consist of several subsystems and a switching law indicating the active subsystem at each time instantly. Optimization problems for switching systems have attracted a lot of interest. Theoretical results and applications were developed in [4]-[6]. For general theory of stochastic switching systems it is referred to [7].

Therefore problems of optimal control for switching systems, described by deterministic and stochastic differential equations with delay, are actual at present [8],[9]. Earlier the problems of stochastic optimal control of switching systems without delay were considered in [10]-[12]. Stochastic optimal control problem of unrestricted switching systems with delay is investigated in [13].

The present work is devoted to the optimal control problem of delayed stochastic switching system with uncontrolled diffusion coefficient. It is obtain maximum principle in the case when endpoint constraints are imposed. Using Ekeland's variational principle [14], given problem is convert into the sequence of unconstrained problems. Due to the result from [13 it is established maximum principle and transversality conditions. Finally, taking the limit, we achieve the necessary condition of optimality in the case when endpoint constraints are imposed.

II. PRELIMINARIES AND STATEMENT OF PROBLEM

Let $(\Omega, F^l, P), l = 1, ..., r$ be a probability spaces with filtration $\{F_t^l, t \in [t_{l-1}, t_l], l = 1, ..., r\}$, $0 = t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_r = T$. Assume that $w_t^1, w_t^2, ..., w_t^r$ are independent Wiener processes, which generate $F_t^l = \overline{\sigma}(w_q^l, t_{l-1} \le t \le t_l), l = 1, ..., r$. Let R^n denotes the *n*-dimensional real vector space and |.|denotes the Euclidean norm in R^n . E represents the expectation. $L_{F'}^2(a,b;R^n)$ denotes the space of all predictable processes $x_t(\omega)$ such that: $E \int_a^b |x_t(\omega)|^2 dt < +\infty$. $R^{m \times n}$ is the

space of linear transformations from R^m to R^n . Let, $O_l \subset R^{n_l}, Q_l \subset R^{m_l}$ be open sets and T = [0,T] be a finite interval.

Consider the following stochastic control system with delay: $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{$

$$dx_{t}^{l} = g^{l}(x_{t}^{l}, x_{t-h}^{l}, u_{t}^{l}, t)dt + f^{l}(x_{t}, x_{t-h}, t)dw_{t}^{l} t \in (t_{l-1}, t_{l}]$$
(1)
$$x_{t}^{l+1} = \mathbf{K}^{l+1}(t), t \in [t_{l} - h, t_{l}), l = 0, 1, ..., r - 1,$$
(2)

$$x_{t_{l}}^{l+1} = \Phi^{l}\left(x_{t_{l}}^{l}, t_{l}\right), \ l = 1, \dots, r-1, \ x_{t_{0}}^{1} = x_{0},$$
(3)

$$u_t^l \in U_\partial^l \equiv \left\{ u^l(\cdot, \cdot) \in L_F^2(t_{l-1}, t_l; \mathbb{R}^m) | u^l(t, \cdot) \in U^l \subset \mathbb{R}^m \right\}$$
(4)

where U^{l} , l = 1,...,r are non-empty bounded sets.

Let $\Lambda_l, l = 1, ..., r$ be the set of piecewise continuous functions $K^l(\cdot), l = 1, ..., r : [t_{l-1} - h, t_{l-1}) \rightarrow N_l \subset O_l$ and $h \ge 0$.

The problem is concluded to find the control $u^1, u^2, ..., u^r$ and the switching law $t_1, t_2, ..., t_r$ which minimize the cost functional :

$$J(u) = \sum_{l=1}^{r} E \left[\varphi^{l} \left(x_{t_{l}}^{l} \right) + \int_{t_{l-1}}^{t_{l}} p^{l} \left(x_{t}^{l}, u_{t}^{l}, t \right) dt \right]$$
(5)

which is determined on the decisions of the system (1)- (3), which are generated by all admissible controls

$$U = U^{1} \times U^{2} \times ... \times U^{r} \text{ at conditions}$$
$$Eq^{r} \left(x_{t_{r}}^{r} \right) \in G \qquad (6)$$

G is a closed convex set in R^k .

Ch.Aghayeva is with the Industrial Engineering Department, University of Anadolu, Eskisehir, Turkey, on leave from the Institute of Cybernetics of ANAS, Baku, Azerbaijan (corresponding author to provide phone: 90-222-335 05 80; fax: 90-222-335 36 16; e-mail: c_aghayeva@ anadolu.edu.tr; cherkez.agayeva@gmail.com).

Consider the sets:
$$A_i = T^{i+1} \times \prod_{j=1}^i O_j \times \prod_{j=1}^i \Lambda_j \times \prod_{j=1}^i U^j$$
 with the

elements

$$\pi^{i} = (t_{0}, t_{1}, t_{i}, x_{t_{0}}^{1}, x_{t_{2}}^{2}, \dots, x_{t_{i}}^{i}, K_{1}, \dots, K_{i}, u^{1}, u^{2}, \dots, u^{i}) \; .$$

Definition 1: The set of functions

 ${x_{t}^{l} = x^{l}(t, \pi^{l}), t \in [t_{l-1} - h, t_{l}], l = 1,...r}$ is said to be a solution of the equation with variable structure which corresponds to an element $\pi^{r} \in A_{r}$, if the function $x_{t}^{l} \in O_{l}$ on the interval $[t_{l} - h, t_{l}]$ satisfies the conditions (2),(3), while on the interval $[t_{l-1}, t_{l}]$ it is absolutely continuous with probability 1 and satisfies the equation (1) almost everywhere.

Definition 2: The element $\pi^r \in A_r$ is said to be admissible if the pairs $(x_t^l, u_t^l), t \in [t_{l-1} - h, t_l], l = 1, ..., r$ are the solutions of system (1)-(4) and satisfied the conditions (6).

 A_r^0 denotes the set of admissible elements.

Definition 3: The element $\tilde{\pi}^r \in A_r^0$, is said to be an optimal solution of problem (1)-(6) if there exist admissible controls $\tilde{u}_t^l, t \in [t_{l-1}, t_l], l = 1, ..., r$ and corresponding solutions $\{\tilde{x}_t^r, t \in [t_{l-1} - h, t_l], l = 1, ..., r\}$ of system (1)-(4) with constraints (6), and pairs $(\tilde{x}_t^r, \tilde{u}_t^r), l = 1, ..., r$ minimize the functional (5). Assume that the following requirements are satisfied:

I. Functions g^{l} , f^{l} , p^{l} , l = 1,...,r and their derivatives are continuous in (x, y, u, t):

$$g^{l}(x, y, u, t): O_{l} \times O_{l} \times Q_{l} \times T \to R^{n_{l}}$$

$$f^{l}(x, y, t): O_{l} \times O_{l} \times T \to R^{n_{l} \times n_{l}} p^{l}(x, u, t): O_{l} \times Q_{l} \times T \to R^{1}.$$

II. When (t,u) are fixed, functions g^{l} , f^{l} , p^{l} , l = 1, r hold the conditions:

$$(1+|x|+|y|)^{-1} (|g'(x,y,u,t)|+|g'_x(x,y,u,t)|+|g'_y(x,y,u,t)|+ |f'_y(x,y,t)|+ |f'_y(x,y,t)|+|f'_y(x,y,t)|+|p'(x,u,t)|+|p'_x(x,u,t)|) \le N.$$

III. Functions $\varphi^{l}(x): R^{n_{l}} \to R^{1}, l = 1, ..., r$ are continuously differentiable and satisfies the following:

$$\left|\varphi^{l}(x)\right| + \left|\varphi^{l}_{x}(x)\right| \le N(1+\left|x\right|).$$

IV Functions $\Phi^{l}(x,t_{l}): R^{n_{l}} \times T \rightarrow R^{1}, l = 1,...,r-1$ are continuously differentiable in respect to (x,t):

$$|\Phi^{l}(x,t_{l})| + |\Phi^{l}_{x}(x,t_{l})| \le N(1+|x|).$$

V. Functions $q^r(x): \mathbb{R}^{n_r} \to \mathbb{R}$ are continuously differentiable in respect to (x,t):

$$|q^{l}(x)| + |q^{l}_{x}(x)| \le N(1+|x|).$$

III. METHODS OF SOLUTION

The following result that is a necessary condition of optimality for problem (1)-(5) has been obtained in [6].

Theorem 1. Suppose that assumptions I-IV hold,

 $\begin{aligned} \pi^{r} &= (t_{0}, ..., t_{r}, x_{t}^{1}, ..., x_{r}^{r}, K_{1}, ..., K_{r}, u^{1}, ..., u^{r}) \text{ is an optimal solution} \\ \text{of problem (1)-(5) and random processes} \\ (\psi_{t}^{l}, \beta_{t}^{l}) &\in L_{F^{l}}^{2}(t_{l-1}, t_{l}; R^{n_{l}}) \times L_{F^{l}}^{2}(t_{l-1}, t_{l}; R^{n_{l}xn_{l}}) \text{ are the solutions} \\ \text{of the following adjoint equations:} \\ \begin{cases} d\psi_{t}^{l} &= -\left[H_{x}^{l}(\psi_{t}^{l}, x_{t}^{l}, y_{t}^{l}, u_{t}^{l}, t) + H_{y}^{l}(\psi_{t+h}^{l}, x_{t+h}^{l}x_{t}^{l}, u_{t}^{l}, t)\right] dt \\ &+ \beta_{t}^{l} dw_{t}^{l}, \ t_{l-1} \leq t < t_{l} - h, \\ d\psi_{t}^{l} &= -H_{x}^{l}(\psi_{t}^{l}, x_{t}^{l}, y_{t}^{l}, u_{t}^{l}, t) dt + \beta_{t}^{l} dw_{t}^{l}, \ t_{l-1} - h_{l} \leq t < t_{l}, \\ \psi_{t_{l}}^{l} &= -\varphi_{x}^{l}(x_{t_{l}}^{l}) + \psi_{t_{l+1}}^{l} \Phi_{x}^{l}(x_{t_{l}}^{l}, t_{l}), \ l = 1, ..., r - 1, \end{aligned}$

Then,

 $\psi_t^r = -\varphi^r(x_t^r).$

a) almost certainly for $\forall \tilde{u}^{l} \in U^{l}, l = 1,...r$, a.e. in $[t_{l-1}, t_{l}]$ the maximum principle hold:

$$H^{l}(\psi_{\theta}^{l}, x_{\theta}^{l}, y_{\theta}^{l}, \tilde{u}^{l}, \theta) - H^{l}(\psi_{\theta}^{l}, x_{\theta}^{l}, y_{\theta}^{l}, u_{\theta}^{l}, \theta) \leq 0$$

b) Following transversality conditions hold:
$$-a_{l}\psi_{t_{l}}^{l}g^{l}(x_{t_{l}}^{l}, y_{t_{l}}^{l}, u_{t_{l}}^{l}, t_{l}) + b_{l}\psi_{t_{l}}^{l+1}g^{l+1}(x_{t_{l}}^{l}, y_{t_{l}}^{l}, u_{t_{l}}^{l}, t_{l}) + b_{l}\psi_{t_{l}+h}^{l+1}g^{l+1}(x_{t_{l}}^{l}, y_{t_{l}}^{l}, u_{t_{l}}^{l}, t_{l}) + b_{l}\psi_{t_{l}+h}^{l+1}g^{l+1}(x_{t_{l}}^{l}, K^{l}(t_{l}), u_{t_{l}}^{l}, t_{l}) - b_{l}\Phi_{l}^{l}(x_{t_{l}}^{l}, t_{l}) = 0, \ l = 0, 1, ..., n$$

Here

$$H^{l}(\psi_{t}, x_{t}, y_{t}, u_{t}, t) = \psi_{t}g^{l}(x_{t}, y_{t}, u_{t}, t) + \beta_{t}f^{l}(x_{t}, y_{t}, t)$$
$$-p^{l}(x_{t}, u_{t}, t), \ t \in [t_{l-1}, t_{l}],$$
$$y_{t}^{l} = x_{t-t}^{l}, \ a_{0} = 0, a_{1} = \dots = a_{r} = 1 \text{ and }$$
$$b_{0} = \dots = b_{r-1} = 1, b_{r} = 0.$$

Further, by applying Theorem 1 and Ekeland's Variational Principle it is obtained the necessary condition of optimality for stochastic control problem of switching systems with delay (1)-(6).

Theorem 2. Suppose that, assumptions I-V hold, $\pi^r = (t_0, ..., t_r, x_t^1, x_t^2, ..., x_t^r, K_1, ..., K_r, u^1, u^2, ..., u^r)$ is a optimal solution of problem (1)-(6) and random processes $(\psi_t^l, \beta_t^l) \in L^2_{F^l}(t_{l-1}, t_l; R^{n_l}) \times L^2_{F^l}(t_{l-1}, t_l; R^{n_l \times n_l})$ are the solutions of the following adjoint equations:

$$\begin{cases} d\psi_{t}^{l} = -\left[H_{x}^{l}(\psi_{t}^{l}, x_{t}^{l}, y_{t}^{l}, u_{t}^{l}, t) + H_{y}^{l}(\psi_{t+h}^{l}, x_{t+h}^{l}x_{t}^{l}, u_{t}^{l}, t)\right] dt \\ + \beta_{t}^{l}dw_{t}^{l}, \quad t_{l-1} \leq t < t_{l} - h, \\ d\psi_{t}^{l} = -H_{x}^{l}(\psi_{t}^{l}, x_{t}^{l}, y_{t}^{l}, u_{t}^{l}, t) dt + \beta_{t}^{l}dw_{t}^{l}, \quad t_{l-1} - h \leq t < t_{l} \\ \psi_{t_{t}}^{l} = -\lambda_{t}\varphi_{x}^{l}(x_{t_{t}}^{l}) + \psi_{t_{t+1}}^{l}\Phi_{x}^{l}(x_{t_{t}}^{l}, t_{l}), \quad l = 1, ..., r - 1 \\ \psi_{t_{t}}^{r} = -\lambda_{0}\varphi_{x}^{r}(x_{t_{t}}^{r}) - \lambda_{r}q_{x}^{r}(x_{t_{r}}^{r}). \end{cases}$$
Then ,

a) almost certainly for $\forall \tilde{u}^{l} \in U^{l}, l = 1,...r$, a.e. in $[t_{l-1}, t_{l}]$ the maximum principle holds:

 $H^{l}(\psi_{\theta}^{l}, x_{\theta}^{l}, y_{\theta}^{l}, \widetilde{u}^{l}, \theta) - H^{l}(\psi_{\theta}^{l}, x_{\theta}^{l}, y_{\theta}^{l}, u_{\theta}^{l}, \theta) \le 0$ (8)

b) following transversality condition holds a.c.:

b) Following transversality conditions hold:

 $-a_{l}\psi_{t_{l}}^{l}g^{l}(x_{t_{l}}^{l}, y_{t_{l}}^{l}, u_{t_{l}}^{l}, t_{l}) + b_{l}\psi_{t_{l}}^{l+1}g^{l+1}(x_{t_{l}}^{l}, y_{t_{l}}^{l}, u_{t_{l}}^{l}, t_{l}) + b_{l}\psi_{t_{l}+h}^{l+1}g^{l+1}(x_{t_{l}}^{l}, K^{l}(t_{l}), u_{t_{l}}^{l}, t_{l}) - b_{l}\Phi_{t}^{l}(x_{t_{l}}^{l}, t_{l}) = 0, \ l = 0, 1, ..., r$ (9)
where $a_{0} = 0, a_{1} = = a_{r} = 1$ and $b_{0} = = b_{r-1} = 1, b_{r} = 0.$

Proof. For any natural *j* let's introduce the approximating functional:

$$I_{j}(\mathbf{u}) = S_{j}^{t} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{r} \left[E\varphi^{l}(x_{t_{l}}^{l}) + E\int_{t_{l-1}}^{t_{l}} p^{l}(x_{t}^{l}, u_{t}^{l}, t) dt \right], Eq^{r}(x_{t_{r}}^{r})\right) =$$

$$\min_{c_{j}^{r} \in \mathcal{E}} \sqrt{\sum_{l=1}^{r} \left| c_{j}^{l} - 1/j - E\left[\varphi^{l}(x_{t_{l}}^{l}) + \int_{t_{l-1}}^{t_{l}} p(x_{t}^{l}, u_{t}^{l}, t) dt \right]^{2} + \left| y - Eq^{r}(x_{t_{r}}^{r}) \right|^{2}}$$

Where $\varepsilon = \{c : c \le J^0, y \in G\}$ and J^0 is minimal value of the functional in the problem (1)-(5). Let $\mathbf{V} = (V^1, ..., V^r)$, here $V^k = (U^k, d)$ be space of controls obtained by means of the following metric:

$$d(u^{k}, v^{k}) = (l \otimes P) \{(t, \omega) \in [t_{k-1}, t_{k}] \times \Omega : v_{t}^{k} \neq u_{t}^{k}\}.$$

It is easy to prove the following fact:

Lemma 1. Assume that conditions I-IV hold, $u_t^{l,n}$, l = 1,...,r be the sequence of admissible controls from V^l , and $x_t^{l,n}$ be the sequence of corresponding trajectories of the system (1)-(3).

If the following condition is met: $d(u_t^{l,n}, u_t^l) \rightarrow 0$.

Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \sup_{t_{l-1} \le t \le t_l} E \left| x_t^{l,n} - x_t^l \right|^2 \right\} = 0$$

where x_t^l is a trajectory corresponding to an admissible

controls u_t^l , l = 1, ..., r.

According to Ekeland's variational principle, there are controls such as; $u_t^{l,j}: d(u_t^{l,j}, u_t^l) \le \sqrt{\varepsilon_j^l}$ and for $\forall u_t^l \in V^l$ the following is achieved:

$$I_j(\mathbf{u}^j) \le I_j(\mathbf{u}) + \sum_{l=1}^r \sqrt{\varepsilon_j^l} d(u^{l,j}, u^l), \ \varepsilon_j^l = \frac{1}{j}.$$

This inequality means that

 $(t_0, t_1, ..., t_r, x_t^{1, j}, ..., x_t^{r, j}, K_1, ..., K_r, u_t^{1, j}, ..., u_t^{r, j})$ is a solution of the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} J_{j}(\mathbf{u}) = I_{j}(\mathbf{u}^{j}) + \sum_{l=1}^{r} \sqrt{\varepsilon_{j}^{l}} E_{j}^{t_{l}} \delta(u_{t}^{l}, u_{t}^{l,j}) dt \rightarrow \min \\ dx_{t}^{l} = g^{l}(x_{t}^{l}, y_{t}^{l}, u_{t}^{l}, t) dt + f^{l}(x_{t}^{l}, y_{t}^{l}, t) dw_{t}, \ t \in (t_{l-1}, t_{l}] \\ x_{t}^{l+1} = K^{l+1}(t), t \in [t_{l} - h, t_{l}), \ l = 0, 1, ..., r - 1, \\ x_{t_{0}}^{l+1} = w_{0}^{l}(x_{t_{1}}^{l}, t_{l}) \quad l = 1, ..., r \\ x_{t_{0}}^{1} = x_{0}, \\ u_{t}^{l} \in U_{0}^{l} \end{cases}$$
(10)

Function $\delta(u, v)$ is determined in the following way:

$$\delta(u,v) = \begin{cases} 0, u = v \\ 1, u \neq v. \end{cases}$$

Then according to the Theorem 1, it is obtained as follows:

1) there exist the random processes $\psi_t^{l,j} \in L^2_{F^l}(t_{l-1},t_l;R^{n_l})$,

 $\beta_l^{l,j} \in L^2_{F^l}(t_{l-1}, t_l; R^{n_l \times n_l})$, which are solutions of the following system

$$\begin{cases} d\psi_{t}^{l,j} = -H_{x}^{l} \Big(\psi_{t}^{l,j}, x_{t}^{l,j}, y_{t}^{l,j}, u_{t}^{l,j}, t \Big) dt - H_{y}^{l} \Big(\psi_{t+h}^{l,j}, x_{t+h}^{l,j}, y_{t+h}^{l,j}, u_{t+h}^{l,j}, t + h \Big) dt \\ + \beta_{t}^{l,j} dw_{t}, \ t \in [t_{l-1}, t_{l} - h], \ l = 1, ..., r \\ d\psi_{t}^{l,j} = -H_{x}^{l} \Big(\psi_{t}^{l,j}, x_{t}^{l,j}, y_{t}^{l,j}, u_{t}^{l,j}, t \Big) dt + \beta_{t}^{l,j} dw_{t}, \ t \in [t_{l-1} - h, t_{l}], \\ \psi_{t_{t}}^{l,j} = -\lambda_{0}^{j} \varphi_{x}^{l} \Big(x_{t_{t}}^{l,j} \Big) + \psi_{t_{t+1}}^{l} \Phi_{x}^{l} \big(x_{t_{t}}^{l,j}, t_{l} \big), \ l = 1, ..., r - 1 \\ \psi_{t_{t}}^{r} = -\lambda_{0}^{j} \varphi_{x}^{r} \Big(x_{t,j}^{r,j} \Big) - \lambda_{r}^{j} q_{x}^{r} \Big(x_{t,j}^{r,j} \Big). \end{cases}$$

(11)

where non-zero $(\lambda_0^j, \lambda_1^j, ..., \lambda_r^j) \in \mathbb{R}^{r+1}$ meet the following requirement:

$$\lambda_{l}^{j} = \left(-c_{l} + 1/j + E\varphi^{l}(x_{t_{l}}^{l,j}) + E\int_{t_{l-1}}^{t_{l}} p^{l}(x_{t}^{l,j}, u_{t}^{l,j}, t)dt\right) / J_{j}^{0}$$

$$\lambda_{r}^{j} = Eq^{r}(x_{t_{r}}^{r,j}) / J_{j}^{0}$$

$$J_{j}^{0} = \sqrt{\sum_{l=1}^{r} \left|c_{l} - 1/j - E\left[\varphi^{l}(x_{t_{l}}^{l}) + \int_{t_{l-1}}^{t_{l}} p(x_{t}^{l}, u_{t}^{l}, t)dt\right]\right|^{2} + \left|y - Eq^{r}(x_{t_{r}}^{r})\right|^{2}}$$

2) almost certainly for any $\tilde{u}^{l} \in U^{l}$ and a.e. $t \in [t_{l-1}, t_{l}]$ is satisfied:

$$H^{l}(\psi_{t}^{l,j}, x_{t}^{l,j}, y_{t}^{l,j}, \tilde{u}_{t}^{l}, t) - H^{l}(\psi_{t}^{l,j}, x_{t}^{l,j}, y_{t}^{l,j}, u_{t}^{l,j}, t) \le 0 \quad (12)$$

3) the following transversality conditions hold: $-a_{l}\psi_{t_{l}}^{l,j}g^{l}(x_{t_{l}}^{l,j}, y_{t_{l}}^{l,j}, u_{t_{l}}^{l,j}, t_{l}) + b_{l}\psi_{t_{l}}^{l+1,j}g^{l+1}(x_{t_{l}}^{l,j}, y_{t_{l}}^{l,j}, u_{t_{l}}^{l,j}, t_{l}) + b_{l}\psi_{t_{l}+h}^{l+1,j}g^{l+1}(x_{t_{l}}^{l,j}, K^{l,j}(t_{l}), u_{t_{l}}^{l,j}, t_{l}) - b_{l}\Phi_{t}^{l}(x_{t_{l}}^{l,j}, t_{l}) = 0, \ l = 0, 1, ..., r$ (13).

Since the following exists $|(\lambda_0^j, \lambda_1^j, ..., \lambda_r^j)| = 1$, then according to conditions I-IV it is implied that

$$(\lambda_0^j, \lambda_1^j, ..., \lambda_r^j) \to (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, ..., \lambda_r)$$
 if $j \to \infty$

Let us introduce the following result which will be needed in the future.

Lemma 2. Let $\psi_{t_l}^l$ be a solution of system (7), and $\psi_{t_l}^{l,j}$ be a solution of system (11). Then

$$E \int_{t_{l-1}}^{t_l} |\psi_t^{l,j} - \psi_t^l|^2 dt + E \int_{t_{l-1}}^{t_l} |\beta_t^{l,j} - \beta_t^l|^2 dt \to 0, \text{ if}$$

$$d(u_t^{l,j}, u_t^l) \to 0, \ j \to \infty.$$

Proof: It is clear that $\forall t \in [t_{l-1}, t_l], l = 1, ..., r-1$:

$$d(\psi_{t}^{l,j} - \psi_{t}^{l}) = -[H_{x}^{l}(\psi_{t}^{l,j}, x_{t}^{l,j}, y_{t}^{l,j}, u_{t}^{l,j}, t) - H_{x}^{l}(\psi_{t}^{l}, x_{t}^{l}, y_{t}^{l}, u_{t}^{l}, t)]dt + (\beta_{t}^{l,j} - \beta_{t}^{l})dw_{t}$$

According to Ito formula, for $\forall s \in [t_1 - h, t_1]$ it is satisfied:

$$E |\psi_{t_{t}}^{l,j} - \psi_{t_{t}}^{l}|^{2} - E |\psi_{s}^{l,j} - \psi_{s}^{l}|^{2} = 2E \int_{s}^{t_{t}} [\psi_{t}^{l,j} - \psi_{t}^{l}] [(g_{x}^{l*}(x_{t}^{l,j}, y_{t}^{l,j}, u_{t}^{l,j}, t) - g_{x}^{l*}(x_{t}^{l}, y_{t}^{l}, u_{t}^{l}, t))\psi_{t}^{l,j} + g_{x}^{l*}(x_{t}^{l}, y_{t}^{l}, u_{t}^{l}, t)(\psi_{t}^{l,j} - \psi_{t}^{l}) + (f_{x}^{l*}(x_{t}^{l,j}, y_{t}^{l,j}, t) - f_{x}^{l*}(x_{t}^{l}, y_{t}^{l}, t))\beta_{t}^{l,j} - p^{l}(x_{t}^{l,j}, u_{t}^{l,j}, t) + p_{x}^{l}(x_{t}^{l}, u_{t}^{l}, t)]dt + E \int_{s}^{t_{t}} |\beta_{t}^{l,j} - \beta_{t}^{l}|^{2} dt.$$

Due to assumptions I-IV and using simple transformations, the following is obtained:

$$E\int_{s}^{t_{l}} |\beta_{t}^{l,j} - \beta_{t}^{l}|^{2} dt + E |\psi_{s}^{l,j} - \psi_{s}^{l}|^{2} \leq EN\int_{s}^{t_{l}} |\psi_{t}^{l,j} - \psi_{t}^{l}|^{2} dt + EN\varepsilon\int_{s}^{t_{r}} |\beta_{t}^{l,j} - \beta_{t}^{l}|^{2} dt + E |\psi_{t_{t}}^{l,j} - \psi_{t}^{l}|^{2}.$$

Hence, according to Gronwall inequality [3] it suggests that:

$$E |\psi_{s}^{l,j} - \psi_{s}^{l}|^{2} \le De^{N(t_{r}-s)}$$
 a.e. in $[t_{l} - h, t_{l}]$

(14)

where constant D is determined in the way below:

$$D = E | \psi_{t_l}^{l,j} - \psi_{t_l}^{l} |^2.$$

According to (7) and (11), it is obtained that: $\psi_{t_l}^{l,j} \rightarrow \psi_{t_l}^{l}$,

which leads to $D \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, from (14) it follows:

$$\psi_s^{l,j} \to \psi_s^l$$
 in $L_{F'}^2(t_l - h, t_l; R^{n_l})$ and $\beta_s^{l,j} \to \beta_s^l$

in
$$L^2_{F^l}(t_l - h, t_l; R^{n_l \times n_l})$$
.

Then, $\forall t \in [t_{l-1}, t_l - h], l = 1, ..., r$ from the expression:

$$d(\psi_{t}^{l,j} - \psi_{t}^{l}) = -\left[H_{x}^{l}(\psi_{t}^{l,j}, x_{t}^{l,j}, y_{t}^{l,j}, u_{t}^{l,j}, t) - H_{x}^{l}(\psi_{t}^{l}, x_{t}^{l}, y_{t}^{l}, u_{t}^{l}, t)\right]dt$$
$$-\left[H_{y}^{l}(\psi_{t+h}^{l,j}, x_{t+h}^{l,j}, y_{t+h}^{l,j}, u_{t+h}^{l,j}, t+h) - H_{y}^{l}(\psi_{t+h}^{l}, x_{t+h}^{l}, y_{t+h}^{l}, u_{t+h}^{l}, t+h)\right]dt$$

$$+\left(\beta_t^{l,j}-\beta_t^l\right)dw_t$$

using simple transformations, in view of assumptions I-IV the following is obtained:

$$E \int_{s}^{t_{t}-h} |\beta_{t}^{l,j} - \beta_{t}^{l}|^{2} dt + E |\psi_{s}^{l,j} - \psi_{s}^{l}|^{2} \leq EN \int_{s}^{t_{t}-h} |\psi_{t}^{l,j} - \psi_{t}^{l}|^{2} dt + E |\psi_{s}^{l,j} - \psi_{s}^{l}|^{2} \leq EN \int_{s}^{t_{t}-h} |\psi_{t}^{l,j} - \psi_{t}^{l}|^{2} dt + E |\psi_{t_{t}-h}^{l,j} - \psi_{t_{t}-h}^{l}|^{2}.$$

Hence, according to Gronwall inequality, the following result is achieved:

$$E |\psi_{s}^{l,j} - \psi_{s}^{l}|^{2} \le De^{N(t_{l}-s)}$$
 a.e. in $[t_{l-1}, t_{l} - h)$

where constant D is determined as follows:

$$D = E |\psi_{t_l-h}^{l,j} - \psi_{t_l-h}^{l}|^2$$
, which leads to $D \rightarrow 0$.

It is inferred that $\psi_s^{l,j} \to \psi_s^l$ in $L_{F'}^2(t_{l-1},t_l;R^{n_l})$ and $\beta_s^{l,j} \to \beta_s^l$ in

$$L^{2}_{F^{l}}(t_{l-1},t_{l};R^{n_{l}\times n_{l}})$$

Lemma 2 is proved.

It follows from Lemma 2 that it can be proceeded to the limit in system (11) and the fulfilments of (7) are obtained. Following the similar scheme by taking limit in (12) and (13) it is proved that (8), (9) are true. Theorem 2 is proved.

IV. CONCLUSION

It is obtained a necessary condition of optimality for stochastic control problem of switching systems with delay on state. Necessary conditions satisfied by an optimal solution , play an important role for investigation of optimal control problems. The result can be used in various optimal control problems of biological, technical and economic systems. The necessary conditions developed in this study can be viewed as a stochastic analogues of the problems formulated in ([4]-[6]). However, Theorem 2 is a natural evolution of the results given in [10]-[13].

REFERENCES

- V.B. Kolmanovsky, A.D. Myshkis, *Applied Theory of Functional Differential Equations*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1992.
- [2] I.I. Gikhman, A.V. Skorokhod, *Stochastic Differential Equations*. Springer, 1972, (Translated from Russian).
- [3] W.H. Fleming, R.W. Rishel, *Deterministic and Stochastic Optimal Control.* Springer, 1975.
- [4] D.I. Capuzzo, L.C. Evans, "Optimal Switching for ordinary differential equations", *SIAM J. on Control and Optimization*, vol.22, no 1, pp. 143-161, 1984.
- [5] S.C. Bengea, A.C. Raymond, "Optimal Control of Switching systems", *Automatica*, vol.41, pp.11-27,2005.
- [6] T. I. Seidmann, "Optimal control for switching systems", in Proc. 21st Annu. conference on informations science and systems, 1987, pp.485-489.
- [7] E.-K. Boukas, *Stochastic Switching Systems. Analysis and Design*, Birkhauer, 2006.
- [8] G. Kharatatishvili, T.Tadumadze, "The problem of optimal control for nonlinear systems with variable structure, delays and piecewise continuous prehistory", *Memorirs on Differential Equations and Mathematical Physics*, Moskow, vol. 11, pp. 67-88, 1997,.

- [9] H. Shen, Sh. Xu, X. Song, J. Luo, "Delay-dependent robust stabilization for uncertain stochastic switching systems with distributed delays", *Asian Journal of Control*, vol. 5, no 11, pp. 527-535,2009.
- [10] Ch. Agayeva, Q.Abushov "Necessary condition of optimality for stochastic control systems with variable structure", in *Proc. 20th International Conference :Continuous, Optimization and Knowledge – based technologies*", Lithuania, 2008, pp. 77-81.
- [11] Ch. Agayeva, Q.Abushov "The maximum principle for some stochastic control problem of switching systems", Selected Papers of International Conference, "MiniEURO 24rd Conference on Continuous Optimization @ Information-Based Technologies in Financial Sector, Izmir, 2010, pp.100-105.
- [12] Ch. Agayeva, Q. U.Abushov . "The maximum principle for some nonlinear stochastic control system with variable structure", *Theory of Stochastic Processes*, vol 16,no.1, pp.1-11, 2010.
- [13] Ch.A Aghayeva, "Stochastic optimal control problem of switching systems with lag", *Transactions ANAS, mathematics and mechanics* series of physical-technical & mathematical science, vol.31, no.3, pp. 68-73, 2011.
- [14] I. Ekeland, "On the variational principle", *Journal of Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol.47, pp.324-353, 1974.